

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,064 08/21/2003		Markus Rauscher	50930/TJD/M881	8429
23363 75	590 05/28/2004		EXAMINER	
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP			SNOW, BRUCE EDWARD	
350 WEST COLORADO BOULEVARD SUITE 500 PASADENA, CA 91105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738	

DATE MAILÉD: 05/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/646,064	RAUSCHER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Bruce E Snow	3738			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 and 16-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 15 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of References Cites (FFC 692) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/22/02</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mail D	•			

Application/Control Number: 10/646,064

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in EPO 2018730.8 (8/21/2002) and EPO 3010285.9 (5/7/2003). It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the applications as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Drawings

Figure 1-8 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 7-8, 12, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 7-8 are not understood and not shown in the drawings.

Claim 12 is not understood.

Claim 16, "in particular of basket-like or quiver-like" is indefinite and ambiguous.

Art Unit: 3738

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, claims 7-8 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 9-14, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tornier (5,358,526).

Tornier teaches a shoulder joint prosthesis comprising two cooperating bearing bodies (3, note column 4, lines 1-3 teaching multiple caps), a shaft (1) and a coupling (2) for the connection of the shaft to one of the bearing bodies, wherein a support member (24, 20) inherently having an outer support surface adjoins the lower side (31, 32) of the bearing body connectable to the shaft, said outer support surface of said support member at least partly filling a gap present between the bearing body and the

Application/Control Number: 10/646,064

Art Unit: 3738

shaft in the state connected to the shaft such that the growing together of bone fragments is promoted.

Claim 2, "clamping section", see elements 22, 4, 14c, 14d.

Regarding claim 5, note Tornier teaches elements 22 and 4 which together have a cross section that deviates from a circular shape.

Regarding claim 17, "support element", see generally element 14 of Tornier.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tornier in view of the prior art shown in figures 1-8.

Tornier teaches the shoulder joint as described in the rejection above including a clamping section 22, 4, 14c, and 14d which prevent angular movement between elements 2 and 1. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have substituted the clamping section taught by the prior art in at least figure 3 having an elliptical cross-section for the above stated elements of Tornier for a simple means having fewer parts for preventing relative rotation.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,064

Art Unit: 3738

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 15 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 19-16 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bruce E Snow whose telephone number is (703) 308-3255. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (703)308-2111. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BRUCE SNOW PRIMARY EXAMINER